

Previous references.

SR 2 of 1876-77
SR 1 of 1882-83.

Later references.

SR 248 of 1902-903.

1891-92

190

(Sep: Revenue)

SR File No. 25 of 1891-92

Serial Nos. 1 to 21.



GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 21.

Major Part B. for December 96

SUBJECT.

Claim of Sivangere Inamdar to
Toddy Revenue

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District
By Lt. 1174
107 No. 1108 of 26.4.92.

Requests to return the Title deed of the Inamdar
as he has again prayed for its return (to him)

2. To The Dy Commr Tumkur District
No. 4615 of 9.6.92

Returns the title deed States to reinvestigate
the question of the Title of the Inamdar to Toddy Revenue
G. R. S. 58
and report the result with Progs for orders under recent
instructions in Pro No. 1019-20 of 12.4.92.
4

From The Dy: Commr: Tumkur Dist.

Dy: No. 528

No. 139 of 11.7.92.

R 128

Reporting the result of the enquiry held in the validity of the claim of the Jodidar of Sivangere Village.

4. From Sheshavadhani Jodidar Sivangere

No. 166 of 15.7.92.

Complains against the enquiry made by the Dy: Commr: as regards his Huk to the item of Toddy.

5. From Chennappa Jodidar of Sivangere

No. 1182 of 4.11.92

Complains against the report of the Dy: Commr: Tumkur Dist. as regards the Jodidars right to toddy Revenue.

6. From The Dy: Commr: Tumkur District

No. 4660 of 9.9.93.

R F 141-92

Calls for further report in the matter of the claim of the Jodidars of Sivangere to toddy Revenue.

7. From The Dy: Commr: Tumkur Dist.

Dy: No. 7499
1267

No. 341 of 25.10.93.

Giving the particulars of the amount recovered from the farmer of the toddy shop in the Sivangere Village for the year 1893.

8. To The Supdt: Inam Settlement.

No. 22619 of 19.6.94.
2061

Requests that the Inam Register relating to the Settlement of the Sivangere Jodi Village may be forwarded for reference.

From The Supdt: of Inam Settlement

Dy: No. 200
13

No. 3 of 16.7.94

Forwarding the Inam Register relating to the Settlement of the Sringeri Village & requests to return the same when not required

10. To The Dy Commr. Tumkur District
No. 22618 of 19.6.94.
P 2060
Requests that the papers noted may be forwarded early.
11. From The Dy Commr. Tumkur District.
By No. 358 of 30.7.94.
R 291 Forwards papers connected with the claim of toddy revenue of Jodidars of Sivangere.
12. From Chenappa + Venkatesubbinga Jodidars
No. 684 of 28.8.94
Complains against the order of the Dy. Commr. & prays that the entire record be sent for and perused.
13. To The Dy. Commr. Tumkur Dist
No. 5232 of 14.9.94.
RF 141-92
Requests the Dy. Commr. to report the Consumption of toddy actual or approximate in the toddy shop of Sivangere.
14. From The Dy Commr. Tumkur
By No. 7048 of 29.11.94.
R 1971 Submits further information regarding the claim of the holders of the Jodi Village of Sivangere.
15. To The Ex. Commr. in Mysore.
No. 15346 of 18.3.95.
RF 141-92
Requests his opinion as to what Royalty he would propose to be levied for the Govt. toddy consumed in the toddy shop of the Inam.
16. From The Excise Commr. in Mysore
By No. 17260 of 18.6.95.
R 4966 489
Submits records of enquiry of the claim of Jodidars.
17. From The Supdt. of Inam & Co
By No. 9462 of 105 of 16.6.96.
R 837 Requests to direct the return of the Inam register as it is required for references.

18 To. The Supt. of Inam Secd.
No. $\frac{399}{R 132}$ of 11.7.96.

Returns the Inam Register Sheets referring
to the Jodi Swangere.

19. From the Supt. of Inam Secd.
By No. $\frac{2034}{1436}$ No. 310 of 9.9.96.

Forwards the Inam Register Sheets No.
96+97 of the Madagiri Taluk.

20. From The Supt. of Inam Secd.
By. $\frac{3462}{2488}$ No. 42 of 23.11.96.

Requests to return the Inam Register
Sheets No. 96+97 as they are required.

21. To. The Supt. of Inam Secd.-
No. $\frac{5309}{RF 141-92-93}$ of 9.12.96.

Returns the Inam Register Sheets referred
to Jodi Swangere.

Indeed

Countermarked
B. Nagaraj Rao
21/12/16

H. Karasimha Murthy Rao
Clerk

Ref. 2 192



From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

The reference quoted in this
was to Under Secretary
on 14.4.91

To Mr. Srikanthwaraiya Esq.

Kindly see if Mr. Kinn
Dy. Commr's letter No 116 of the
19th June 1889 is to be
found in the Special
file regarding Mandar
Toddy rights. If it is
to be found there, please
send it to me to enable
me to dispose of this refce.
Mush

17/5/92

Sub? The P.L. chapter
is in the Ch. Secy. kind
cause a search & the
note therein

18/5/92

Sak Karan Rao

Mush 18/5/92

Return the title deed
say that the Dewan
will be obliged by Mr
Venkatarangappa Esq
restating the question
of the title of the Mandar
& Toddy revenue and
report the

7-5-92

Dated 28 April 1902	
SECTION	Despatched
FILE NO.	1891/92
SER. A	General No.
Enclosures No.	
No. 1108	

With reference to this
Office Docket No 678 of the
6th March 1891 I have
the honor to solicit that
the title deed submitted
with this office letter No
116 of the 19th June 1889
may be kindly returned
as the Mandar concern
ed has again prayed
for its return to him

I have the honor to be

Yours Most Obedient Servant
Venkatarangappa
Deputy Commissioner

11/6/91

Don't with proceedings
for under recent
instructions cont 2
in the no 2

(Regarding the new body scheme)
The reports previously
used on the subject from
the Dy's office are
not conclusive and
satisfactory to you

1802
11/6

5 June 92

Draft sub

11/6/92

1892



From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

To

Mr. D. C. Jankar D.

Dated 9th

Despatched 13th June 1892

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 4615

No. 67

SECTION	<i>Gen Rev</i>
File No.	<i>250/1896-92</i>
Serial No.	<i>262</i>

His letter No 1108 of the 26th
April 1892 soliciting the
return of the title deed sub-
mitted with his letter No
116 of the 19th June 1889

In returning herewith the
Title deed referred to in
the above, has the honor
to state that the Dewan
will be obliged by Mr
Venkataradiengar peen
-vestigating the question of
the Title of the Gramdar
to Toddy Revenue and report
the result with proceedings
for


for orders under recent
instructions contained in

Pro No 1019-29⁴ of the 12th April 1892

The reports previously received
on the subject from the
By Census Office are not
inclusive and satisfactory or conclusive
to Government

180
J. M. L. R.
Chief Secy
9/6

(General Serial No. 30.)



From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

SECTION	<i>Sep: Rev</i>
FILE No.	<i>250/1898-92</i>
SERIAL No.	<i>273</i>

Dated 11th July 1892.

Despatched 12th

Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No.

No. 139

Chief Secretary's Docket
No: 4615 of the 9th June 1892
67

Reports the result of the
enquiry held into the validity
of the claim of the Joddar
of Sivangore Village in
the Maddageri Taluk — &c

As per memo

To the same no 4660 27/9/92
27/141-92

Rev.

are not these reports
to be subd. to the Excise
Comr in the first instance?

M. M.
15/7

recommnded.

RS 21/7/92

Recd 16/7

No: 139.

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Camp Horatigere 11th July 1892.

To,
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your Docket
No: $\frac{4615}{67}$ of the 9th June 1892 directing
me to enquire into the validity of the
claim of the Jodidars of Sivnageri
Village in the Maddagiri Taluk to
the Abkari revenue thereof I beg to
state as follows:—

2. This Village was originally grant-
ed upwards of 300 years ago as
Sarvamanyam as can be seen from
the Copy of the Sannad marked
A hereto attached. In that Sannad
toddy revenue has not been specially
referred to, but all fruit and flower
trees" have been conceded to the
Inamdar

Shamdar On the strength of this the Shamdars contend that the toddy trees are included in that term in as much as those trees yield fruit also like Coconut trees which yield both fruit and liquor

3. Subsequently the Sarvama-nyam tenure was altered into Jodi tenure by Dewan Pirnairya as can be seen from copy of the Samad marked 'B' hereto attached. In this Samad no mention whatever is made of the Abkari revenue of the Village. When in 1877 a question arose about the claim of these Jodi-dars to the Abkari revenue of this Village the then Chief Commissioner in order No: 12917-Rev: 363 of the 20th March 1877 remarked that the term "fruit and flower trees" used in the first Samad could not be construed

constructed as conclusively establishing the right claimed, and added that as that Samad had been superseded by the 2^d Samad it was inoperative.

4. This is all that the Inamdars can produce in the shape of Samad in support of their claim.

5. Now in regard to the actual enjoyment of the item by the Inamdars. They are in possession of several documents which go to shew that the toddy revenue of the Village has all along been in their enjoyment. English translations of these documents and of those referred to in paras 2 and 3 above are to be found in the printed Memorandum marked "C" hereto attached.

6. Long enjoyment of this revenue by the Inamdars can, I think, be fairly presumed to have been approved. In that
Case.

case the present claim will, I believe, have to be settled in accordance with the principle laid down in para 3 of Government order No: 3697-Rev: 30 of the 8th October 1873. That order directs that in cases of long enjoyment the Abkari revenue may be continued in full for 20 years and half for ten years more in cases where the Villages have been alienated to a Community. The Village ^{now} in question has been alienated to a number of persons. Calculating from the date of the order, viz: the 8th October 1873, the first period of 20 years will expire on the 8th October 1893. Till then the whole of the Abkari revenue may, I think, be given to the Jodidars and half for ten years thereafter.

7 Up to the end of March 1892 the Inamdars got 20 Rupees per month from the late Contractors with the exception of the instalments for two years, which have fallen into arrears and which they expect to get ere long.

At the recent sale of toddy shops and groves the Shop of this Village was sold for 6½ Rupees per month. The purchaser draws his toddy from the Government trees. The toddy trees in this Village were not bid for by any body so they have not been sold. Under these circumstances I do not know how the amount of this Shop can be given to the Inamdars. They can only buy from the Shop keeper the Mohatarfa Shop tax. If the Inamdars get somebody to apply for the trees

trees in their village then the amount realized thereon may be disposed of, I think, as stated in para 6 above.

9. The Inam title deed received with your Docket under reply is also enclosed

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

M. S. K. S. S. S. S. S.

Deputy Commissioner

The Petitioner should
produce a copy
the order appealed
against

2000 Jod. 25
10-8-92

SECTION	Sep Riv
FILE NO.	257/1898-99
SERIAL NO.	284

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

19

No. 166

Name of Petitioner. Sheshavadhani
G. K. Kedar.

Village. Siranagar

Taluk. Maddagiri

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 15th July

Date of Receipt. 18th July

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

The Petitioner states
that the Dy Commissioner
held an enquiry on the 11th
about the Petitioner's hak
to the item of Loddy ^{in the Snani village} and
has erred in reporting to
Govt unfavourably to the
Petitioner, and that he
will establish his hak if
a date be fixed for hearing
his appeal.

Sir

SV

2/7

No letter seems to
have been recd in the Pet.
quoted in the pet.

1892

20

No. 1182

Name of Petitioner: Cheunappa
brother of Veshnavadhani

Village: Soddan of Livanageri

Taluk: Maddur

District: Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 4-11-92

Date of Receipt. 7-11-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures: 2

SECTION	Dep Rev
FILE NO.	250/1890-92
SERIAL NO.	275

ABSTRACT.

The pet's late brother had petitioned the Govt. on 15.7.92 aft. the Dy Commr's Report No. 139 of 11. Ldm disturbing the Jodidars rights to Toddy Revenue, and had recd. an order to produce a copy of the order. appealed aft.

As his brother died, the pet produced the copy, asked for

S/A

20/11/92

G. S. S. a/u

11/11/92

MYSORE DEPARTMENT OF
 PETITION & PUBLIC
 REG'D. NO. 1182
 DATE 7-4-92


10

ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕಾನೂನುಬಾಹಿರ
 ನಡೆಸು ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥಾಪಕರು

ಸರ್ವೆ 1892 ರಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಖಲಾದ 4 ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್ ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
 ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಬಯಸುವವರಾದ
 ಗೌ. ಶಂಕರಪ್ಪ ಪುಟ್ಟಪ್ಪ ಗೌ. ವಸಂತಲಾಲ್ ಪಾಟೀಲ

ಗೌ. ಶಂಕರಪ್ಪ ಪುಟ್ಟಪ್ಪ ಗೌ. ವಸಂತಲಾಲ್ ಪಾಟೀಲ
 ದಾಖಲೆಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ದಾಖಲೆಗೆ
 ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ದಾಖಲೆಗೆ 15-7-92 ರಲ್ಲಿ
 ಕಾನೂನುಬಾಹಿರವಾಗಿ ದಾಖಲೆಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ
 ಕ್ರಿಯಾತ್ಮಕವಾಗಿ ದಾಖಲೆಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ
 ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ದಾಖಲೆಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ
 ದಾಖಲೆಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ
 ದಾಖಲೆಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ
 ದಾಖಲೆಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ

ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ದಾಖಲೆಗೆ



Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

Dated Kortigere 11th July 1892

No 139

To

Respected Sir

Subscribed in the office of the Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

With reference to your Pocket No
4615 of the 9th June 1892, directing me to
enquire into the validity of the claim of the
Jodidars of Swanagere village in the

Maddur Taluk to the Abkari Revenue
thereof, I beg to state as follows—

2 This village was originally granted upwards
of three hundred years ago as Larwanany
as can be seen from the copy of the Gannad
marked "A" hereto attached in that Gannad

toddy revenue has not been specially referred
to, but all "fruit and flower trees" have been
On the strength of this, the Jodidars
conceded to the Jodidars, content that

the toddy trees are included in that term
in as much as those trees yield fruit also,
like coconut trees which yield both fruit

fruit and liquor

3 Subsequently the Savanagiyam tenure was altered into godi tenure by Dewan Purnaya, as can be seen from copy of the Yamad marked 'B' hereto attached. In this Yamad no mention whatever is made of the Abkari revenue of the village. When in 1877 a question arose about the claim of these godidars to the Abkari revenue of this village, the then Chief Commissioner, in order No 12917, Rev: 363 of the 20th March 1877, remarked that⁵ The term fruit and flower trees used in the first Yamad could not be construed as conclusively establishing the right claimed and added that, as that Yamad had been superseded by the 2nd Yamad, it was inoperative.

4. This is all that the godidars can produce in the shape of Yamad in support of their claim.

5. Now, in regard to the actual enjoyment-

MA

of the item by the Inamdars. They are in possession of several documents which go to show that the toddy revenue of the village has all along been in their enjoyment. English translations of these documents, and of those referred to in paras 2 and 3 above, are to be found in the printed memorandum marked "C" hereto attached.

6. Long enjoyment of this revenue by the Inamdars can, I think, be fairly presumed to have been proved. In that case, the present claim will, I believe, have to be settled in accordance with the principle laid down in para 3 of Government Order No 3697 Revenue of the 8th October 1873. That order directs that in cases of long enjoyment the abkari revenue may be continued in full for 20 years and half for ten years more, in cases where the villages have been alienated to a community. The village now in question has been alienated to a number of persons calculated from the date of the order vizt the 8th October 1873, the first period of 20 years will expire

expire on the 8th October 1893. Till then, the whole of the Abkari revenue may I think, be given to the Godidars, and half for ten years thereafter, 7. Up to the end of March 1892, the Daramdars got 20 Rs per month from the late contractors, with the exception of the instalments for two years, which have fallen into arrears, and which they expect to get ere long.

8. At the recent sale of toddy shops and groves, the shop of this village was sold for 6½ rupees per month. The purchaser draws his toddy from the Government trees. The toddy trees in this village were not bid for by any body. So they have not been sold under these circumstances, I do not know how the amount of this shop can be given to the Daramdars. They can, only levy from the shop keeper, the Mohatarfa shop tax. If the Daramdars get some body to apply for the trees in their village, then the amount realized there on may be disposed of, I think, as stated in para 6 above.

9. The Daram title deed received with

with your Docket under reply is also enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant
(Sd.) B. K. Venkataverdangar
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

W. Rangaswamiengar
Rev. Shiridadar

Copy applied for 17-10-92
Ready for delivery 20-10-92
Copy delivered 24-10-92
No of words 715.

Copying and
comparing fees } 0-14-8

Compared by

1893 .

(22)

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To The D. C. Tumkur District.

Dated 9th September 193

Despatched

Received

SECTION	<i>Sepidar</i>
FILE NO.	<i>257/1898-92</i>
SERIAL NO.	<i>30</i>

Enclosures No.

General No. 4660

No. R. 7141-92

Calling for further report in
the matter of the claim of the
todidars of Sivangere in the
Maddur Taluk to Today
Revenue of the village -

No 4660 8/9-99
R. 7. 141-92 12.

SECTION	Sep: Rev
FILE NO.	257 1892
SERIAL NO.	31

The Deputy Commr.
Punjab Dist

Sir

With reference to para
of your letter No 139. date
July 1892, reporting the
result of the enquiry held
into the validity of the claim
of the Jodidars of Sevanpur
to toddy revenue, I am directed
to observe that as the Jodidars
are entitled, up to 8th October
1893 to the whole of the amount
realized by sale of the Snak
privilege of vending toddy in
the shop in their village and
after that date to a half
the said amount their claim
to the amount realized
the sale of the privilege
1892-93 would in no way
be affected by the fact of
the farmer of the Shop drawing
his supply from Govt trees

as under the system of farming today, reverse the prove in which the trees are situated has been farmed out by Government and the farmer of the shop would have to buy his supply from the farmer of the prove. ^{however} I am to request you will report at an early date what amount ~~was~~ ^{has been} actually recovered from the farmer of the shop ~~during~~ ^{for} the year ending with 31 March 1893.

I have seen

11th 9 Dec 93

Whether the Enambers have the right circumed by them is a question of fact. The Chief Com seems to have decided the point adversely to them in 1877. It has not been considered by the Enambers to be conclusive & a further step for enquiry has been directed. We have now the Enambers' report dated 11 July 1892 to the effect that the Enambers have no right & we have an appeal therefrom dated 15th July. The petition pray for a hearing & they allege that the evidence is sufficient to establish their right & it would be fair to them & for history to ourselves to give them an opportunity to convince us of the justice of their claim.

AM

7/9/93

The many Chief Secretary
open to
after why the
in the
fundamental
may in hand

7/9/93

AM

14/1

Send this to

W. R. Chamberlain

(Chief Secy)

Mean refer to form &
under that one know
whether this can fall
under those rules & can
be signed in form of govt. Submitted

14/1 The Enambers
is above

Revised

Part of the Dewan
Further notes

18/1

Subd. in reply to Mr. Dewan
Query of 19th instant -

I find it difficult to
bring this case under any of
the classes of cases under the
Schedule enumerated in the
Rules for former cases.
Larson is aware of
any rule which precludes the
Govt. hearing parties in any
case in which such hearing may
be considered desirable a necessary

18/1 -

If this does not
fall under Council
Orders, I don't see
why we should
depart from the existing
practice.

Sent to Mr. Ramchandran

By ———

AM

21/1/93

Part of the Dewan
for order Mr.
Ramchandran has
not had time to study
the case before he
ceased to be Councilor
& has therefore not those
papers

The officer below
explains the case
& the question for
decision -

30/1 —

Submitted
21/1/93.

Rev
26/10
Mm

1893.94.26
From The Dy. Commissioner,
Trichin District

7499

R.1267

23

To The Chief Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore -

SECTION	Sep: Rev
FILE No.	254/1898-99
SERIAL No.	327

Dated 25th October 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 341.

Chief Secretary's letter No: 4660
R.F. 141-92
of the 9th September 1893.

— u —
Gives the particulars of
the amount recovered from
the farmer of the Toddy
shop in the Jodi Swanagere
Village of the Maddagiri
Taluk during the year
ending 31st March 1893—

Submitted with office note
Contd. 29/11

No: 341.

Tumkur District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Tumkur 25th October 1893.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: 4660
R.F. 141-9.
of the 9th September 1893 requesting to be in-
formed of the actual amount recovered
from the farmer of the Toddy Shop in the
Jodi Sivamageri Village of the Madda-
jiri Taluk for the year ending ^{the} 31st March
1893, I have the honor to note in the margin

Sale amount including	} Rs: As: P.	82-14--	the particu
local cess from the 1 st			: lars of the
April 1892 to 31 st March 1893.			amount re.
Amount actually col =	} 82-14--	82-14--	= covered
=lected during this period			from the
Balance - Nil -			farmer of
the Shop during that period -.			

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. M. S. S. S.

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Secy

25

7/10

The Superintendent
of the Inam Settlements
in Mysore

22619 of 19-6-94.
2061 25

SECTION	Sep Rev
FILE NO.	25 of 1898-92
SERIAL NO.	228

Has the honor to request
that the Inam Register
relating to the settlement
of the Sivanasere Jodi
village, Maddagiri Taluk,
may be forwarded to
this office, for reference
in connection with the claim
of the Jodedar to toddy reven-
ue of the village.

By order

19/6/94

19/6/94

Chief Secretary

1894

From

OFFICE OF THE
200
7th JULY
Pet 13
The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched

Received.

6th
7th July

SECTION	Sep Rev	No.	3
FILE NO.	257/1896-92		
SERIAL NO.	24		

Enclosure No. 2 Sheets

In reply to his docket
No. 22,619 dated 19th ultimo
Pet 2061

Sheet nos 96 and 97 with
the memo attached.

has the honor to forward the
Inam Register relating to the
settlement of the Sivamagere
Jodi village, Maddagiri Taluk
and to request that the same
may be returned when no
longer required. —

[Signature]

[Signature] Supt. of Inam Settle.

Pet. M

17.7-94

2 Drafts

(M)

70

The Deputy Commissioner
Dumkhar DE

SECTION	Sep Rev
FILE NO.	254/1890-92
SERIAL NO.	130

~~22618~~ 22618
P. 2060. 19.6.74
His letters Nos 139 & 341 dated
11 July 1892 & 25 Oct. 1893 respecting
reporting on the claim of the Jodidar
of Sivangere to the Joddy revenue
of the village

With reference to the above
has the honor to request that
the papers noted below may
be forwarded to this Office
as early as practicable.

- (1) the account which gives
the details of the assets of
the village with reference
to which the Jodi mentioned
in the order marked B was
fixed
- (2) the original or certified
copy of the order of May 1805
issued by the Amildar of
Midigere to the Abkari Contractor

(Serial

(Serial 1042 in the printed
memorandum produced
by the Jordan, a copy of
which is herewith sent
for reference

By Order
J. H. L.
Chief Secretary

UL
1916/9/16

Petition Branch

Please put up Re-file
no 141 of 92 regarding
the claim of Sivangare
Inamdar to Toddy
Revenue, which is in
your branch

29/7/94
18/7/94

20/7/94

References put up.

Thad
21/7/94

SECTION	Sept
FILE NO.	254/1898-99
SERIAL	11

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894 95-35-8

27

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan Govt.
of Mysore.

Dated Tumkur 9th July, 1894

Despatched 10 - - - - -

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 30
No.

Also Docket no 22618 of
2060 of
the 19th bill.

Forwarding certain
papers connected with the
claim of toddy revenue
of Jaididars of Sivangare
village in the Aladdagiri
Taluk. ✓

Rao

11/7/94

RL
20/7

11/7/94

22
12/7

No 30
Enclos: 2

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur 9th July 1894

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your Docket
No 22618 of the 19th June 1894 calling for
2060
certain papers in connection with the claim
of the holders of Sivanager Jodi village
in the ell addagur Taluk to the toddy
revenue of that village, I have the honor
to forward herewith the marginally
noted documents obtained from the
Jodidars.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. Venkataswami

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

- (1) The account which gives the details of the reports of the village with reference to which the Jodi was fixed in the year 1806 (original)
- (2) Order of May 1805 issued by the Amildar of Chidambaram to the
M. K. K. Contractor.

20

The Rev. Branch

Please put up the refces
 alluded to in the Dy. Comr's order
 produced by Petitioner

MR
 19/9

File is with the J. Comr's copy.

19/9
 Rd
 6/10

Submitted for order

2 The Dy. Comr is called upon
 to furnish certain particulars

Vide docket no 5232
 RF 141-92

up

2 1. Swet translation
 to Dy. Comr's order
 10/10

Translation Submitted for order

2. If approved, the Dy. Comr's
 report in reply to this Office
 no 5232 Dy 14th Sept/94
 RF 141-92

may be awaited

just sent for
 the Chief
 Secy. Obtains order
 on the way of
 rep: now before him
 6/12/94

No. 654

Name of Petitioner: Shrinivasji + Venkatasubbiaji
 Godi Inamdar, by late G. Krishnamurthy, advocate

Village. Livana Gray

Taluk. Madadapur

District. Tumkur


Date of Arzi. 28-8-94

Date of Receipt. 29-8-94

SECTION	State of Deposit.
FILE NO.	25 of 1898-92
No. of Enclosures.	7
SERIAL NO.	37 12
ABSTRACT.	

In continuation of their petition da
 30th October 1893 the petitioners state
 they received lately an order of the Dy.
 Commissioner herein enclosed; that
 above order is inequitable & opposed to
 various records & purnads in their pos
 & that they are prepared to show to the
 satisfaction of the Govt that they are
 entitled to full rights of the Goddy Rm
 in question.

Prays that the entire record
 be sent for & perused, a date may be
 for hearing & reasonable orders may
 passed in the case




28.8.94
Stamp
office

Presented by
parties
29/8/94

Further G.O. of H H
the Maharaja of Mysore

Enc:1



The humble Ruler of
Chennappa & Venkata
Sukhal Jodiduan
dars of Sumanapuzha
Mangayam Sany M
Sunkur Desai
most respectfully

Sheneth

That in continuation of his
letter to the G.O. dated the 30
October 1893 they has to say
that since the last petition the
have the under written Deputy
Commissioner of Sunkur heresa
made -

2. That the order of the Deputy
Commissioner is circulated
& offered to the various vendors
& Sannads in possession of the
petitions.

3. That the petitions are
prepared

prepared to show that the
Satisfactions of the Govt that
they are entitled to the full
rights of the ~~Today~~ Revenue
now in question

Your Petitioners then
 for much respect
 being that the Govt
 may please to
 send for the whole
 records & after
 fixing a day for
 hearing the Petition
 her son or by a Pleas
 & pass such just
 and reasonable
 order as the Govt
 may deem best

And your P^rsent Shallowest

28. 8. 94.

Cheney

Adv. Gen. P. L. S.

ಎಂ 7000067398-

ತಾರೀಖು 10-7-94 ರಂದು

Signature of B K Hanakatavandana
Deputy Commissioner

4/10/95

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ - 17-1-95 ರಂದು

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ - 24-7-94.

Ushayambika

ಶಿ. ಕಮಲಾಕ್ಷಿ

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ

13.8.94

Translation
of Letter by Cover's Can order no 32 of
10th July 94.

To the Amildar of Maddur Taluk.

It is known to you that an
order from Govt no 443-51 of 11th July 93
has been received to the effect that requests
should be made as to whether the demand
are entitled to Paddy Res of their villages.

2 In the enquiry held in
accordance with that order it has
been ordered that the Amildar of Sivani
Taluk shall enjoy the full ^{Paddy} Res upto 8th
Oct 93, one half of the Res for 10 years
afterwards and then his right shall
cease. A copy of the order has been
sent to the Excise Commr Inform the
Amildars accordingly.

By B. K. Venkataswamy
Dy Commr

no 85

Copy forwarded to the
Inamdar of Hanganere village for
information

Sd/- T. Sheshajirao
In Amildar

(29)

The Deputy, was
function

Left
D 14/9 1894

SECTION	Sep Rev
FILE NO.	257/1898-92
SERIAL NO.	13

910 5232
R7.141-92 &

His ~~dec~~ letter no 30 D. 9th
July 1894 Submitting circla
papers connected with
the claim of Toddy Rev
by the fodedars of Suran
gere Village

In reply has the honor
to request that the Dy
Comr will be good enough
to report -

(1) What has been the
consumption of Toddy
actual or approximate +

in the Toddy Shop of the
Sivangere Inam village in

1892-93 & 93-94

(2) The ^{proportionate} cost of the Dist Estd

(b) the ^{royalty} of the Dist Estd

(3) (a) The Rev from toddy
of the Dist

(b) Do — of the Dist

(4) If the grove was sold
separately ^{in any case} what was
the amount

(5) What Royalty if any
the Deputy Commr proposes
to realize on the Goot
Toddy Consumed in the
Inam shop & the basis
on which it should be
fixed

(6) In the case of Inam village
claiming (1) Excise (2) Rent
or both the Dy Commr should
sell the shops separately or
if there are no groves then

the number of trees needed to
meet the demand in a
Cool Grove should be assigned
and sold for the exclusive
use of the main shop or shops
so that complication in accounts
may be obviated

7 In the present case^{is} there
any reason why the main shop and the grove in
the ^{main holding} should ^{not} have been disposed
of ^{as in the case of Govt Farms} together and the ~~farmer~~
farmer restricted to the
main trees to get his toddy
& not from a Cool grove?

8 If it is indispensably
and unavoidably necessary
to get toddy for any main
shop from a Cool Grove the
trees therefor should be blocked
out & sold separately and
the demand met from the
block so assigned so that
Govt rights may be effectually

deceit and complication

in accounts obtained

A very early reply to this letter is requested

R.D.

W. H. H. H.

Chapman

ll
22/8/14

ll
18/8/14

1894-95 R1971

30

$$T_0$$

*The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
Government of Mysore.*

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No

SER General No. 1869

His docket no 5232 of the
Q7/41-92.

14 September 1894.

Submitting further inform
ation called for in the above
regarding the claims of the
holders of the poor village of
Sivanagere in the Maddur
Taluk to the tory revenue of
that village

RHO 1/12

Wm
R

Submitted for order.

2. If approved Orders on Bangalore By Comrs reference on the subject may be awarded

~~For~~ 2. My fair
Note Pages 2nd & 5th
from letter - As we know
the inscription we can
fix a royalty as previously
suggested by me in my
note now before Govt.

Pala. The 24th presents
that have offered, App^rson
Hunt & Co. are employed
for the Bureau Sales for
the reason of each taking
I want G. W. & George The
cost must be proportionally
strike them for the
Iron Shop & George also.
The regular taking of the staff
is an independent action to the
independently taken with out.

The papers are not properly numbered
Page 3 & Govt intended this being done
by the V. Office

Rare 4. That is just it - The
Prime & Subp are both in the howling
of q. prima some grounds exist
that Todd rev: is one They may be
said together

I beg to submit
the foregoing "note" as I think
covers all your before Govt. Pri
vate orders. There is a Npl case
which has been before the Commissioner
of Govt. Pr. & the true book
10/14/94

Deputy Commissioners Office
Lunkur District.

No 469

Dated 28th November 1894

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir.

With reference to your docket

no 5232 of the 14th September 1894 Calling
R.H. 141-92

for further information regarding the
Claim of the holders of the Jode village
of Swanagere in the Maddagur Taluk
to the Toddy Revenue of that village I
beg to state as follows:-

2. It has been reported that in the
toddy shop of the said village 187 gadas
of toddy were sold in 1892-93 and 243
"gadas" in 1893-94, the capacity of each
"gada" being equal to 24 measuring
seers.

3. Nextly I am requested to state the

the proportionate cost of the District and
the Taluk Establishments for the annual
sale of the ~~torry~~ farms apportionable to
the village in question. I beg to state that
no establishment is specially entertained
for the sale of the ~~torry~~ farms. The District
and the Taluk Officers hold the sales.
But if it is meant that the cost of the
general ~~torry~~ Establishment maintained
in the District and the Taluk Offices should
be calculated and the portion of it
chargeable to the village in question
ascertained, I beg to state thus. The
District ~~torry~~ Establishment (which
consists of a — Deroga on Rupees 40—
plus Rupees 15 horse allowance with an
orderly on Rupees 8 and two clerks on
Rupees 25 and Rupees 15) costs Rupees 1236/-
for

per annum The Taluk Establishment
(which consists of two Tally Inspectors
each on rupees 15 plus 10 ^{rupees} horse allowance,
an Excise gumasta on rupees 12 and
two peons on rupees 6 each) costs rupees
888-0-0 per annum. The tally amounts
realized for the whole District in the years
1892-93 and 1893-94 are rupees 252499-4-7
and rupees 274862-9-6 respectively
including local cef. The realizations
for the Taluk of Maddur in those years
are rupees 53179-3-0 and rupees 70247-3-0
respectively. The realizations for the
village in question in those years are
respectively rupees 82-14-0 and
rupees 151-6-6 including local cef. Thus
the proportionate amounts chargeable to
the village in question are.

are :-

For 1892-93. For 1893-94

I On account of ^{the} District
Toddy Establishment } Rs 1-2-10 3-5-3

II On account of ^{the} Taluk
Toddy Establishment } " -13-5 1-12-10

3(a) No cost was incurred in the collection of the Toddy Klusts as the amounts were brought and paid into the Treasury by the farmers, the Taluk Toddy Inspectors seeing that they did so.

4 The Toddy grove of the village in question was put up to sale during the past two years but none bid for it.

5
I am asked to state what Royalty I
would propose on the Government Toddy
consumed in the Toddy shop of the Inam
village in question. I am unable to say
how much of the amount which the farmer
paid represents ^{the} duty and how much
the

Note. Govt. has supplied 600 to shop
but am to pay 1000 for another
in 2 years but worked 1000 each.

the cost of toddy.

6. Enquiry is made as to why the toddy shop in the Inam village in question and the toddy trees therein were not sold as one farm. As only a few of the Inamdars are entitled to the toddy revenue of their villages while all of them can claim the rent ^{realized} for the trees in their Estates, the shops and the trees therein are being sold separately, to enable us to know what amount should be paid on account of duty and what on account of rent.

I have the honor to be
your most obedient ^{serv} servant

A. Venkata Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

(31)

The Excise Comr
in Mysore

SECTION	<i>Sep Rev</i>
FILE NO	<i>256/1898-99</i>
	<i>4015</i>

18 March 95
15346 *in: 1 file*
R. 7. 141-92 *correspondence*

In forwarding here
with the file of Correspond-
ence (as per List Thence
enclosed) ending with
letter no 469 of 29th Nov 95
from the Deputy Comr
Mysore Dist on the sub-
ject of the claim of the
Mandor of Swanage
Village has the honor to
request that the Excise
Comr will forward Govt
with his opinion as to
what Royalty he would
propose to be levied for

the Govt- Toddy Consumed
in the Toddy Shop of the
Inam Village in question.

K O

18/3/95

Offg Chief Secy

S. H. J.

18/3/95

317 Gen. 30.1

1895 OFFICE OF THE
19760
21. JUN. 1895
R4966
DEWAN OF MYSORE.
in Mysore.

The Excise Commissioner

From

To

Revenue

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated

18th June 1895.

Despatched

21.

Received

Enclosure No. (3 files)

General No. 5444

No. 5444

SECTION

In reply

FILE NO.

SERIAL NO.

SUBJECT.

Submits Records
of enquiry together
with copy of the Corres-
pondence in re the
claim of Goddars of
Sivangere, in the
Maddagire taluk, to
Goddy Revenue.

References (to be entered only in the
Dewan's Office.)

Ref 595
27/6/95
References subsp

Submitted for orders
with note (last)
2 If the general question
is settled and the orders
passed on the Chiefclerk
terms on the subject the
question involved viz the
deduction to be made on
account of the rent of Govt
Grove (Govt Grove & Diamond
being roughly sold) will be
decided

3. As per Excise Commr
Calculation the rent comes
to 37 1/2 & Rs 43 3/4 respectively
for the years 92-93 & 93-94
out of 82-14-0 & 151-6-6.

128
17.

invest
-cordance with Govt: Progs No.
2074-83 & 2nd August-1901
R. 7. 166-92

28/6/23

No 5444 Excise Commissioner's Office
489 (enc 3 files)
Camp Bidadre 18th June 1895.
21
From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Sir

In returning the file of correspondence
received with the late officiating Chief
Secretary ^{per} No 15346, dated 18th March 1895,
R.F. 141-92

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Docket No. 1459, dated $\frac{2^{nd}}{3}$ April 1895
from Deputy Commissioner Tumkur | I have
the honor
to submit
herewith
the Record
of enquiry
held by the
Deputy |
| 2. - do - $\frac{4182}{102}$, dated 6 th April 1895
to - ditto | |
| 3. ——— 1797, dated 8 th June 1895
from - ditto | |
| 4. ——— $\frac{5303}{140}$, dated 11 th June 1895
to - ditto | |
| 5. ——— 1830 dated 14 th June 1895
from ditto | |

Commissioner, Tumkur District in the
matter of the claim preferred by the
godidars of Sivangere in the Baddagere
taluk to Goddy Revenue, together with
copy of the marginally noted correspondence

2. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the full amount of Excise Revenue from Goddy should be given to the dnamdar up to 3rd October 1892 and only a half of it for ten years thereafter, minus the proportionate cost of establishment

3 The Deputy Commissioner has reported that the shop in the above Inam village obtained its Goddy supply from a Government grove for 1892-93 and 1893-94, by tapping 300 and 350 trees respectively; and that the owners of private lands adjoining the above gode village are said to have rented out their date trees at six pices per tree per annum. This is too small a rate. In the case of private lands the date trees alone belong to the owners thereof, but the Goddy manufactured therefrom is a Government monopoly. But in unoccupied lands both the date trees and the Goddy drawn from them are the property of Government. I am therefore of opinion that two annas on every tree tapped, may be deducted as the Government share from the realizations for 1892-93 and 1893-94

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant
J. H. Kelts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Based Junkur 14th June 1895
Despatched 15th

Ins. No: 1830

This docket No: $\frac{5303}{140}$ of the 11th Instant

~ . ~

Has the honor to state that the owners of private lands adjoining the Jode Sirvanagere Village in the Maddur Taluk are reported to have rented out their toddy trees at the rate of six pice per tree per annum

(Ld) M Narayan Rao

Offg. Deputy Commissioner

Copy

M. K. K. S.

Chief Commissioner
Mysore

1895 *Enclosures to S. No. 16*

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

To The Revenue Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated 2nd
Despatched 3rd } April. 1895

Mus. No: 1459

Enclosures no 6 files

His docket no: $\frac{942}{35}$ of the 24th August 1894

1. Sivnagere and remainder. no: $\frac{3975}{98}$ of the 22nd March 1895

2. Gannpalahally

3. Guddashimmanahally

4. Garam

5. Adivenayanahally

6. Kasanahally

Has the honor to retransmit herewith with necessary alterations the records of enquiry touching the right to the toddy revenue of the marginally noted Inam Villages received with the first of the dockets quoted above.

(Yd) B. H. Venkatasavardengar

Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District.

From

The Revenue Commissioner

in Mysore

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District.

Dated 6th April 1895

No:

No: 4182
102

The Deputy Commissioner's No: 1459, dated
 $\frac{2}{3}$ instant.

~ . ~

In reply has the honor to request
information on the following points: .

- (1) Does the shop at Godi Sivangere village
get its supply of toddy only from its own grove?
- (2) If it gets toddy from a Government
grove also what is the name of that grove,
how many trees have been tapped in it in
each year on account of the above shop,
and under what authority does it get toddy
from such Government grove?
- (3) What do the Godidars pay to Govern-
ment for obtaining toddy from such Govern-
ment grove.

2 A very early reply is requested

(Sd) L. Ricketts
Deputy Commissioner
in Mysore

From 1895

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

To The Deputy Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 8th June 1895

Yrs.

His No 1797 *Ex 6-11-16*

His docket No. $\frac{4182}{102}$ of the 6th April 1895 —
requiring certain particulars about the toddy
shop at godi Sivanagere village in the Maddur
Taluk

~ o ~

It has the honor to state that the toddy
shop at Sivanagere gets its supply of toddy
during the present year only from its own grove
But in the years 1892-93 and 1893-94 it derives
its supply from a Government's grove at Chen-
dragere Village in the Maddur Taluk as the
grove in the Inam village in question was not
bid for in those years In the said two years
the Inam shop and the Government grove
referred to above were sold together and 300
and 350 trees were respectively tapped for 1892-93
and 1893-94

2. The goddars have not paid anything to
Government for those trees

(Sd) K. Ramaswamiengar
Senior Assistant Commissioner
in charge of the Tumkur District

From

Ex to H No 16

From

The Rease Commissioner

in Mysore

To The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

Dated $\frac{11^{th}}{12^{th}}$ June 1895

No: $\frac{5303}{140}$

The Deputy Commissioner's No: 1797,

Dated 8th instant

~ o ~

In reply has the honor to request to be informed very early at what rate per tree the owners of private lands in the Government villages adjoining Sivangiri godi village have rented their own dase trees to Government Toddy Vend Farmers.

(Sd) L. Ricketts

Rease Commissioner

in Mysore

1895

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

To

The Rease Commissioner

in Mysore

Dated

Recd
18/7/96

Recd
24/7/96

From

(32)

To

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

General and Revenue Department
Mysore

Dated 16th

SECTION	Received
FILE NO.	237/1898-92
No.	105-42-13-1895-96

References are in the Rev. Branch.

This may be transferred to

24/6/96

24/6/96

25/6/96

The refces are in the Rev. Branch
with R.F. 141-92.

Excise Br.

Please put up R.F. 141-92

Rev. Records.


The file is put up.

Submitted for orders

The subject matter
is still pending orders.
It is doubtful whether
the main Rev. could
be returned at this
stage

24/7/96

Subd to Secty 24/7/96



This Office Docket No. 3
dated 6th July 1894 to the
address of the Chief Secretary
forwarding the Main Register
of Sivangere Jodi Village
Sheet nos. 96 and 97 of the
Maddur Taluk

It has the honor to request
the Secretary will be so good
as to direct the return of the
above as it is required for
reference

[Signature]

Super of Main Secy

To

The Supot-Grave Settlements

33

No 399 ✓
Q132 d/11.7.96
2 Reg. Sheets.

SECTION	Sep. 1896
FILE NO.	25 of 1896-92
SERIAL NO.	4318

July 1896

1896 - diary

His docket No 105
of 16th ^{June} 1894 request-
ing the return of the
Inam Register of Swan-
gere Jodi village Sheet
nos 96 and 97 of the
Maddaguri Taluk,
forwarded with his
No 38 to July 1894

Here the honor to
return herewith the
Inam Register Sheets
referred to in the above


By order

& to request that
they may be re-estimated
when done with, as
the matter in respect
of which they are required
by Govt for use is
still pending

Recy

11-7-96

From



To 34

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

General and Revenue
Departments
September

SECTION	Sep Rev
FILE NO	25 of 1896-97
SERIAL NO	44 29

Dated 9th
Despatched 14th
Received

Enclosure No. 2 sheets of Inam Regs.

No. 31 of 1896-97.

33

In reply to his docket
No. 399 dated 11th July
R 132
last, has the honor to
forward here with the
Inam Register sheets R
96 and 97 of the Maddur
Taluk and to re-
quest that they may be
returned when no longer
required

[Signature]

[Initials]

Sup^t of Inam settle

Old Depo

15/9/96

[Signature]

I have carefully perused the remarks of Messrs Tarasammengar and Sule Row in the Registers of the Sarvamangam village of Borasandra of the Sira Taluk and of the Jode village of Swanagere of the Muddegere Taluk in the subject of a boundary dispute existing between the said 2 villages

2 The survey operations are in progress in the two Taluks but Mr S says that the dispute was not settled by that Department as it existed between the Inam villages not in any way affecting the interest of the Government. But it must be observed that upon the final settlement of this dispute in one way or another depends the demarcation of the boundary between the two villages and between the two taluks to which they respectively belong

3. Mr S shews in column 4 of the register of the Borasandra village no measurement as per Pymashii of 1805/6 at 509.55 acres

acres, its present area as determined by his surveyor is put down at 2858.96 acres. The difference between these two figures is 2349.41 and the rate of excess is 4.85 per cent.

4. In column 4 of the Register of the Swangere village Mr. S. exhibits the results of 3 measurements conducted at three different times. The area according to the Pymaish of 1807-8 is 698.96 acres so according to the Superintendent's measurement of 1837 is 699.12 acres. His surveyor makes it to be 2238 acres. The first two are as tally almost exactly and the difference between any one of them and the present area is 1539 acres the rate of excess is 320 per cent.

5. If unadapt the Hchaya Pymaish of 1805; 617 as the standard of reference in the settlement of this boundary dispute the difference of 3047 acres between the sum of the areas of the two villages viz 1209 and their present area 4256 acres should be resumed by Government furnishing as Mr.

Saleha Rao that the land under dispute must have formed a portion of the adjoining Government Amrat Mahal land. But we cannot say when and to what extent this encroachment was made by the holders of the Swan villages now at variance for its division. The Ryots of the adjoining Government village apparently take no interest and were satisfied with the survey demarcation of their village exclusive of the land under dispute.

^{Feeling} this difficulty probably neither Mr A nor Mr S claims the excess under reference for the Government and the question is how to dispose of this spoil between the two freebooters.

7 Mr A makes out a strong case apparently for the surnamanyan village judging from the circumstances of present ~~possession~~ possession and suggests that the Jodidars of Swanagere should bring

a regular suit in accordance with the
the Imam Commissioner's Proceedings
of 18th December 1869 I do not see
either why the Government should
be deprived of the benefit of the
Stamp duty and I therefore recommend
that the Jodidars of Swanagere
may be called upon to file
a regular suit before the 15th
of February next.

8. Should it please the
Imam Commissioner to rule
that the excess should be
charged, it may be done
after the result of the suit
is known. My own opinion is
that neither party has any just
claim to the disputed land.
An Annual Malial Kaval ~~estate~~ existed
in the neighbourhood and both the
parties seem to have encroached
extensively on it. I am confirmed
in this opinion by the remarkable

a agreement between the Atchaya
Pymash and subsequent measurement
conducted in 1837. The ~~survey~~ ^{days} Surva
manyam, ~~base~~ base their claim on what
they call a Dewan Katcheri Krup But
this does not satisfy me as I want to
know on what this Krup was based
The original grant as usual in such
cases is missing I would resume the
excess and incorporate it with the
Government lands or give it equally
to the contending parties if they
consented to pay full assessment
on it which is not likely
(Init) J. G.

I concur with Col Clerk
but consider that the whole excess
disputed and undisputed should be
resumed and fully assessed but
leaving the undisputed excess
in each village to the Simandars
and including it in the combined

Orut rent. I have noted my orders in
the Register of each village

(20) Robert Cole

7th June 1871

Attached to the Sheet
No. 96-97 of Maddagere
Taluk—

Rw
23/11/96

Set in
22/11

Submitted

The Inam Registers referred to is before the Secretary, having been submitted on the 1st Oct 96 with A.7. No 141-92

Submitted for his return

1/12/96

4/12

File herewith
returned copy in take
a copy of the Register
sheets & return the
original of same 3/12/96

From

(35)

189

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
GL. No. 3462
R. No. 2488
24 NOV. 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE
The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department.

Dated 23rd

Despatched 23rd

Received

FILE NO.

Enclosure No.

No. 2

of 1896-97

This Office Docket No. 31
dated 9th September 1896.

It has the honor to request
that the Secretary will be so
good as to direct the return of
the Inam Register sheets
No 96 & 97 of Swamagere,
Jodi Village of the Maddur
Taluk, sent with the above, as
they are urgently required to
complete the final quit rent
Registers of the Maddur Taluk.

Yours faithfully
Capt. H. J. Inam

46

Enclise

(36)
Draft for approval
as per memo
To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore

D/ 9/12/96.

Despt. 12/12/96. ✓
5309 ✓
5039

SECTION	Sepher	No. 87.141-92-93
FILE NO.	254/1890-92	On 2 sheets
SERIAL	46 21	

His docket No: 42 of 23rd November
1896 requesting for the return of the
Inam Register Sheets Nos. 96 & 97 of
the Sivangere Jodi Village, Maddur
Taluk ^{required} to complete the final quit
Registers of the Maddur Taluk

In compliance with the above
has the honour to return herewith
the Inam Register sheets Nos. 96 & 97
referred to above

By Order
8/12/96
Secretary

8/12/96

Note - Copies of the Register Sheets should be
kept for office record as directed by the Sd/-
8/12/96 copies prepared.